

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DALE STEIN,

Plaintiff,

v.

G. WOODS; DR. ARTHUR F. MAJOR; DR.  
R. NORTON; STANLAKE K. YE; G. ELLIS;  
N. WARREN,

Defendants.

No. C 11-1243 JSW (PR)

**ORDER PROVIDING PLAINTIFF  
NOTICE AND WARNING;  
SCHEDULING SUPPLEMENTAL  
BRIEFING**

Plaintiff, a California prisoner, filed this pro se civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Defendants have filed a motion for summary judgment, which Plaintiff has opposed. Pursuant to *Woods v. Carey*, No. 09-15548, slip op. 7871, 7884-85 (9th Cir. July 6, 2012), the following notices and warnings are provided to Plaintiff a second time.

Plaintiff must read the following “NOTICE -- WARNING (SUMMARY JUDGMENT),” which is provided to him pursuant to *Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952, 953-954 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc), and *Klinge v. Eikenberry*, 849 F.2d 409, 411-12 (9th Cir. 1988). To the extent defendants argue that Plaintiff failed to exhaust his administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), plaintiff should also read the “NOTICE -- WARNING (EXHAUSTION)” which is provided to him pursuant to *Wyatt v. Terhune*, 315 F.3d 1108, 1120 n. 4 (9th Cir. 2003):

**NOTICE -- WARNING (SUMMARY JUDGMENT)**

If defendants move for summary judgment, they are seeking to have your case dismissed. A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case.

Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact--that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendant's declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.

**NOTICE -- WARNING (EXHAUSTION)**

If defendants file an unenumerated motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust, they are seeking to have your case dismissed. If the motion is granted it will end your case.


You have the right to present any evidence you may have which tends to show that you did exhaust your administrative remedies. Such evidence may be in the form of declarations (statements signed under penalty of perjury) or authenticated documents, that is, documents accompanied by a declaration showing where they came from and why they are authentic, or other sworn papers, such as answers to interrogatories or depositions.

If defendants file a motion to dismiss and it is granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.

1 Plaintiff may file a supplemental opposition to Defendants' motion for summary  
2 judgment on or before **August 1, 2012**. Defendants **shall** file a supplemental reply brief  
3 within **7 days** of the date any supplemental opposition is filed. If Plaintiff does not  
4 supplement his opposition, Defendants' motion will be decided on the papers that have  
5 already been filed.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 DATED: July 11, 2012

8   
9 \_\_\_\_\_  
JEFFREY S. WHITE  
United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DALE RICHARD STEIN,  
Plaintiff,

Case Number: CV11-01243 JSW

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

v.

G WOODS et al,

Defendant.

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on July 11, 2012, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Dale R. Stein  
S.V.S.P.  
P.O. Box 1050  
J82424  
Soledad, CA 93960

Dated: July 11, 2012



Richard W. Wieking, Clerk  
By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk